

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Marwood, Marrison and Hearn, Jasmine (2019) Evaluating Mental Health Literacy in Medical Students in the United Kingdom published in The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice. The final authenticated version is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMHTEP-01-2019-0001>

Evaluating Mental Health Literacy in Medical Students in the United Kingdom

Abstract

Purpose: There is urgent need to explore medical students' understandings of mental illness to better support this high-risk group. This study aimed to evaluate mental health literacy in medical students using the Mental Health Literacy Scale (MHLS) and provide validation of the measure.

Methodology: 251 participants were recruited from medical schools across the U.K. Participants completed demographic details and the MHLS. This paper reports total MHLS scores and their relationships with demographics and experiences with mental illness.

Findings: The mean MHLS score was 127.69. MHL was significantly higher in females, and students in later years of study ($p < .05$). Over 40% of respondents reported having personal experience of mental illness. This, as well as having a close friend or family member with a mental illness, was associated with higher MHL ($p < .05$).

Originality: This study presents the first to use the MHLS and provide validation of this measure in medical students. Despite high rates of personal experience with mental health issues, medical students' average MHLS scores were comparable to studies of non-medical student groups. Medical schools should aim to build students' confidence in recognizing and seeking help for mental health issues from the first year of medical training. MHL is a multifaceted issue; further work is required to improve awareness of risk factors, to better understand why males demonstrate poorer MHL scores than females, and to work towards improving MHL in males.

Keywords: mental health; medical training; stigma; health promotion

24
25

Introduction

26 *Mental Health in Medical Students*

27 The mental health of medical students has been highlighted as an issue of significant
28 concern (Karp & Levine, 2018; Kothari, George & Hamid, 2018; Munn, 2017), with the
29 British Medical Association calling for a review of mental health support provided to medical
30 students (Coombes, 2018). Medical students have higher rates of mental illness (Chew-
31 Graham *et al.*, 2003; Dyrbye *et al.*, 2006) and burnout (Lyndon, 2017) than the general
32 population. A recent meta-analysis demonstrated that 28% of medical students are affected
33 by depression (Puthran *et al.*, 2016), whilst approximately 11% report suicidal ideation
34 (Rotenstein *et al.*, 2016).

35 The reasons behind medical students increased vulnerability are multi-faceted. Moir
36 *et al.*, (2018) identify numerous factors—including selection, student characteristic and
37 assessments—as potential vulnerability factors. Indeed, medical students are exposed to
38 significant academic, clinical and financial stressors. Unlike non-medical undergraduate
39 students, however, medical students' mental health occurs in the context of obligations for
40 self-care and disclosure in their role as future health care professionals (GMC, 2013;
41 RCPsych, 2011). There are a number of myths surrounding mental health and fitness to
42 practice that may discourage help-seeking amongst medical students (GMC, 2017; Kothari,
43 George & Hamid, 2018), thereby highlighting the need to understand medical student's
44 knowledge of mental health.

45 Factors that may impede medical student help-seeking in the context of mental health
46 include perceived stigmatisation of mental illness amongst their student bodies (Chew-
47 Graham *et al.*, 2003; Pascucci *et al.*, 2016). Indeed, medical students report that they are
48 likely to avoid or delay help-seeking and not disclose their own history of mental illness over
49 concerns about perceived competence (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2017). However, it remains unclear

50 whether this is mediated by lack of knowledge (Kutcher *et al.*, 2016) or social contact with
51 others with mental illness (Knaak *et al.*, 2014), which may lead to misunderstandings
52 surrounding mental health, and reinforcement of stigma and avoidance behaviour. This
53 indicates a need to understand factors that drive student behaviour, including assessing
54 knowledge and beliefs surrounding mental health.

55

56 ***Mental Health Literacy***

57 Mental health literacy (MHL) was originally defined as ‘knowledge and beliefs about
58 mental disorders which aid their recognition, management or prevention’ (Jorm *et al.*, 1997).
59 The concept has since been further developed to include concepts relating to positive mental
60 health promotion and stigma reduction (Kutcher *et al.*, 2016). MHL is more comprehensive
61 than simply mental health awareness, and measures of MHL assess varying dimensions, such
62 as knowledge, recognition, attitudes, and beliefs.

63 There is a paucity of research into MHL in medical students. MHL research into
64 recognition of disorders has relied on vignette studies (Cheslock, 2005), which have significant
65 limitations (Kutcher *et al.*, 2016; O’Connor *et al.*, 2014). O’Connor and Casey (2015)
66 developed a 35-item Mental Health Literacy Scale (MHLS) that encapsulates aspects from a
67 number of previous research tools used to evaluate the core concepts of MHL. Gorczynski and
68 colleagues (2017) utilised the MHLS in their study of undergraduate students in the United
69 Kingdom (U.K.), however, no study to date has focused specifically on medical students using
70 the MHLS, despite their high risk. The aim of this paper, therefore, is to report the total MHL
71 scores of medical students as well as the relationship between this and demographic variables,
72 previous experiences with mental illness and condition recognition.

73

74

Methods

75 *Design*

76 This was a cross-sectional study of MHL in medical students, including questionnaire
77 validation in this population. Ethical approval was obtained from the University of
78 [anonymised for peer review] School of Science and Medicine Ethics Committee.

79

80 *Participants*

81 Eligible participants were recruited from eight medical schools across the U.K.
82 Questionnaires were distributed in class at The University of [Anonymised for peer review].
83 Data from all other medical schools were collected via an email invitation and online survey.
84 Participants were required to be over 18 years of age (no upper age limit) and currently
85 enrolled on an undergraduate medical training degree. No extra credit or compensation was
86 offered for participation. Recruitment ran from August 2017 to May 2018.

87

88 *Measures*

89 *Demographics:* The demographic questionnaire contained five items pertaining to gender, age,
90 ethnicity, sexual orientation, year of study, and highest level of education.

91 *The Mental Health Literacy Scale (MHLS; O'Connor & Casey, 2015):* The MHLS contains 35
92 Likert scale items relating to knowledge of where to seek information relating to mental health
93 (4), risk factors and causes of mental health problems (2), self-treatment (2) and professional
94 help available (3). Further items relate to recognition of disorders (8) and attitudes that promote
95 recognition or appropriate help-seeking behaviour (16). As done in previous work (Gorczyński
96 *et al.*, 2017), two items on the scale were modified to reference the U.K., rather than Australia
97 (items 9 and 10). The measure is scored between 35–160, with higher scores indicating a higher
98 level of MHL. The scale has excellent content and structural validity (Wei *et al.*, 2015) and has

99 been shown to have good internal consistency ($\alpha = .873$) and test-retest reliability (O'Connor
100 & Casey, 2015). Reliability has also been established in a UK student sample ($\alpha = .839$;
101 Gorczynski *et al.*, 2017) and the present study ($\alpha = .842$).

102 *Experience with Mental Illness*: The mental health experiences questionnaire contained five
103 items pertaining to individual experiences of mental illness, professional diagnoses, and
104 treatment, as well as mental illness in close friends or family members or through work
105 experiences. Participants were not provided a definition of “mental illness” but instead data
106 collection relied on their own understanding of the term.

107

108 ***Statistical Methods***

109 Data were analysed using SPSS version 24. Data were initially examined for distribution
110 normality and outliers. Means and standard deviations were calculated for demographic data,
111 and total scores calculated for the MHLS. Pearson correlations and one-way analysis of
112 variance (ANOVA) were used to examine relationships between variables and MHLS scores,
113 with an alpha of .05 used for all analyses.

114

Results

115 A total of 271 students participated in the study. Twenty ~~surveys-participants, who had greater~~
116 ~~than 5% of survey items were~~ incomplete, ~~were excluded from analysis.~~ Therefore 251
117 participants were included in the final analysis. ~~Eight m~~Missing values ~~from MHLS items for~~
118 ~~8~~from 7 participants were ~~added-imputed~~ using linear interpolation.

119

Demographics

120 A total of 83 men (33.1%) and 168 women (66.9%) participated in the study. The mean age of
121 participants was 21.52 years (SD = 3.18, Range 18 – 39). The majority of participants self-
122 identified as heterosexual (84.3%) and approximately half were in their first year of study
123 (49.8%). The majority (73.7%) listed A Levels as their highest level of prior educational
124 achievement. Complete demographic information and mean MHLS scores attributable to each
125 demographic is presented in Table 1.

126

127
128 ***INSERT TABLE 1 HERE***

129

MHLS Scores

130 The combined mean score on the MHLS was 127.69 (SD = 11.82, 95% CI 126.13–129.11).
131 Table 2 presents the scores in the present sample compared with those of other studies,
132 demonstrating that medical students' scores were comparable to non-medical student samples.
133 Females had significantly higher mean MHL than males ($F(1, 249) = 9.1, p = .003$). Mental
134 health literacy scores increased steadily with year of study, with scores significantly higher in
135 sixth year compared to first year students ($F(5, 245) = 5.24, p < .001$). A significant difference
136 in mean MHL ratings was found between participants from different ethnic backgrounds ($F(4,$
137 $160) = 6.54, p < .001$), with the highest scores attained by participants who identified as
138 White/White British and Asian/Asian British. Participants who identified as Black/Black
139 White/White British and Asian/Asian British. Participants who identified as Black/Black

140 British had a significantly lower mean MHLS scores than participants from other ethnic
141 backgrounds, though it should be noted the sample size for this group was small. There were
142 no significant differences in mean MHL across the various levels of previous education ($F(3,$
143 $247) = 0.45, p = 0.718$), nor across sexual orientation ($F(4, 161) = 1.4, p = 0.228$).

144

145 ***INSERT TABLE 2 HERE***

146

147 *Scores Across MHLS Domains*

148 Mean proportion of correct answers across each of the assessment domains was assessed (see
149 Tables 3 and 4), and revealed that medical students were most competent in their abilities to
150 recognize disorders and had attitudes that promoted recognition or appropriate help-seeking
151 behaviour. Participants were weakest in their knowledge of risk factors and causes of mental
152 health issues, and in their knowledge of self-treatment. There was a statistically significant
153 difference in mean scores between male and female participants, with females scoring higher
154 on domains one (recognition of disorders; $F(1,249) = 5.76, p = .017$), five (knowledge of
155 professional help available; $F(1,249) = 9.1, p = .003$), and six (attitudes; $F(1,249) = 8.5, p =$
156 $.004$). There was a statistically significant difference in mean scores by year of study in
157 domains one ($F(5,245) = 3.3, p = .007$), two (Knowledge of where to seek information;
158 $F(5,245) = 3.9, p = .002$), and six ($F(5,245) = 2.785, p = .018$), indicating that participants in
159 later years of study were stronger in these domains. Only mean scores in domain three,
160 knowledge of risk factors and causes, varied between groups by level of prior education
161 ($F(3,247) = 3.21, p = .050$), with previous postgraduate students scoring the highest mean on
162 these items and A Level entry students the lowest. Participants from different ethnic
163 backgrounds only varied significantly on domain six, pertaining to attitudes about mental
164 health ($F(4,160) = 6.71, p = .000$).

165
166 ***INSERT TABLE 3 HERE***
167
168 ***INSERT TABLE 4 HERE***
169

170 *Experience with Mental Illness*

171 Details of MHLS scores across experiences with mental illness are provided in Table 5. The
172 majority of participants (75.7%) indicated that a close friend or family member had
173 experienced a mental illness. Respondents who indicated they had a close friend or family
174 member with a mental illness had significantly higher MHL ratings than those who did not
175 ($F(1,246) = 38.37, p < .001$). Just over half of respondents (56.6%) had worked with patients
176 with mental illness in the past, and their MHL scores were significantly higher than those who
177 had not ($F(1, 245) = 7.669, p = 0.006$).

178 A larger proportion of females (45.7%) than males (40.3%) indicated they had
179 personally experienced a mental illness. Participants who indicated they had personally
180 experienced a mental illness (42.2% overall) had significantly higher MHL scores than those
181 who had not ($F(1,245) = 16.1, p < .001$). However, those who reported having been
182 professionally diagnosed with a mental illness did not differ in their MHL scores compared
183 with those who had not been diagnosed ($F(1,246) = 0.017, p = 0.897$). Participants who had
184 undergone treatment for mental illness had significantly higher MHL scores than those who
185 had not ($F(1,242) = 34.83, p < .001$).

186
187 ***INSERT TABLE 5 HERE***

188 189 *Condition Recognition*

190 Rates of disorder recognition are shown in Table 6. Disorders with the highest rates of
191 recognition included Generalized Anxiety Disorder, Bipolar Disorder, and Drug Dependence.
192 Dysthymia was the least well recognised condition. Over half of participants (58.5%) correctly

193 indicated that in the U.K., women are more likely to experience a mental illness compared to
194 men. A minority of participants (31.5%), however, correctly indicated that in the U.K., men
195 are more likely to experience an anxiety disorder compared to women.

196 ***INSERT TABLE 6 HERE***

197

Discussion

198 This study aimed to explore levels of mental health literacy among medical students and to
199 explore whether this is related to demographic characteristics or prior experiences with mental
200 illness. Overall, the mean MHLS score for medical students was comparable to previous
201 studies of different student groups. It is perhaps unsurprising, given the nature of medical
202 training, that MHL scores and knowledge of disorders and information sources increased with
203 years of study. It is a good indicator that students in a higher year of study had significantly
204 higher scores on overall attitudes towards mental health, though variation within the domain
205 of attitudes requires further study to address stigmatization and improve help-seeking in this
206 population.

207 Gender differences in MHL are a complex issue and more research is required to
208 specifically address why females have higher rates of MHL. This study demonstrated that
209 females have better knowledge of disorders and help-available, as well as more positive
210 attitudes, than their male peers. Whether this is due to females increased likelihood of
211 experiencing mental health issues (Boyd *et al.*, 2015), or more positive attitudes towards
212 psychiatry as a subject (Kuhnigk *et al.*, 2007) is unknown. Further research is also required to
213 identify evidence-based methods of improving MHL amongst male medical students,
214 particularly given the fact that male higher education students have a significantly higher rate
215 of suicide compared with female students (Office of National Statistics, 2018).

216

Experiences with Mental Illness

218 This study supports previous research (Furnham *et al.*, 2011; O'Connor & Casey, 2015) which
219 has found that individuals who have greater direct or indirect experience with mental illness
220 have significantly greater levels of mental health literacy. Again, this is perhaps unsurprising

221 given that the exposure (whether personal or through others) to mental health issues will have
222 led to an increased understanding of their symptoms, impact, and management.

223 A key finding in this study was that almost half of respondents indicated that they had
224 experienced a mental health issue previously, a rate twice as high as the national average in the
225 U.K. (McManus *et al.*, 2009). Whilst this finding may have predisposed students to interests
226 in mental health and the study of medicine (and by extension, increased levels of MHL), this
227 is also supportive of previous suggestions, which serves to highlight the increased risk and
228 importance of managing distress in this population.

229

230 ***Condition Recognition***

231 Medical students' recognition of common mental health conditions was high, indicating good
232 knowledge of the symptoms of such conditions. This is likely due to their specific medical
233 training, and is supported by their consistently better ability to correctly recognise conditions
234 such as Generalized Anxiety Disorder and Drug Dependence compared to previous non-
235 medical student samples (Gorczyński *et al.*, 2017).

236 Recognition rates of Major Depressive Disorder were comparable to previous studies,
237 potentially due to depression being the most common mental health problem and second top
238 cause of global burden of disease (Vigo *et al.*, 2016). As a result of this, increased efforts have
239 been made to promote awareness of depression in the general population that may have
240 increased recognition across the general population. Additional research should address the
241 question of whether improved recognition of mental illness in patients is correlated with self-
242 recognition and help-seeking amongst distressed medical students.

243

244 ***Limitations and Future Research***

245 This is the first study to examine mental health literacy in U.K. medical students, which
246 are comparable to other university students' MHL scores. Medical students did demonstrate
247 superior abilities to recognise mental health conditions based on descriptions of their
248 symptoms, however, further work is required to understand whether such increased recognition
249 translates into better management of one's own mental health, and that of patients.
250 Interventions to empower medical students to be able to use their knowledge to effectively
251 manage mental health issues will likely help to improve clinical outcomes of the patients they
252 will serve in future.

253 The cross-sectional design of the present study limits the ability to draw conclusions on
254 causality, particularly between previous exposure to mental illness and current MHL scores.
255 Given the stigmatizing perceptions of mental health in medical students (Chew-Graham *et al.*,
256 2003; Pascucci *et al.*, 2016), it is possible that participants may have underreported having
257 previous personal experience of mental ill-health. On the other hand, some participants may
258 have felt more confident in disclosing their experiences in an anonymous questionnaire study.
259 It would be of value to determine whether exposure increases MHL, or whether MHL scores
260 increased prior recognition of mental illness in oneself and others, as well as to explore the role
261 of stigmatizing views on disclosure of prior experience of mental illness. The analysis would
262 also be strengthened by a larger sample of data from medical students in higher years of study,
263 as a large proportion of the sample was comprised of students in their first year of medical
264 school. Similarly, work is required to better understand the relationship between gender and
265 MHL, and how this translates into help-seeking and disclosure behaviour. This would be useful
266 to inform interventions to improve MHL.

267

268 ***Conclusion***

269 Medical students are an important population in which MHL should be evaluated, as MHL
270 may impact medical students' ability to care for themselves and patients. This study provides
271 rationale for further study of MHL in medical students, such that we can better understand the
272 causes of student distress, and the potential adverse personal and professional consequences
273 that this may have, as well as how MHL can be improved to better improve medical student
274 wellbeing and patient outcomes. This research should be used to guide the development of
275 evidence-based MHL interventions. Further detailed assessment of MHL in medical students
276 and how it translates to behaviour would provide insight into which aspects of MHL need to
277 be addressed to most effectively decrease stigma, increase help-seeking and treatment access
278 as well as improve patient care.

References

- 279
280 Boyd, A. Van de, Velde, S., Vilagut, G., et al. (2015). "Gender differences in mental
281 disorders and suicidality in Europe: results from a large cross-sectional population-
282 based study." *Journal of Affective Disorders*. Vol. 173, pp. 245-254
- 283 Cheslock, P. (2005). "Assessing mental health literacy of first- and third-year medical
284 students: knowledge and beliefs about mental disorders." *PCOM Psychology*
285 *Dissertations*. Paper 28.
- 286 Chew-Graham, C., Rogers, A., and Yassin, N. (2003). "'I wouldn't want it on my CV or
287 their records': medical students' experiences of help-seeking for mental health
288 problems." *Medical Education*. Vol. 37, pp. 873–880.
- 289 Coombes, R. (2018). "Medical students need better mental health support from universities,
290 says BMA." *BMJ*, Vol. 361:k2828
- 291 Dyrbye, L.N., Thomas, M.R., and Shanafelt, T.D. (2006). "Systematic review of
292 depression, anxiety, and other indicators of psychological distress among U.S. and
293 Canadian medical students." *Academic Medicine*. Vol. 81 No. 4, pp. 354-73.
- 294 GMC, General Medical Council. (2013). "Good Medical Practice." Available from:
295 [https://www.gmc-uk.org/ethical-guidance/ethical-guidance-for-doctors/good-
296 medical-practice](https://www.gmc-uk.org/ethical-guidance/ethical-guidance-for-doctors/good-
296 medical-practice) [accessed 30th November 2018]
- 297 GMC, General Medical Council. (2017). Myth busters; In: Supporting medical students
298 with mental health conditions. Available from: [www.gmc-
299 uk.org/education/undergraduate/26588.asp](http://www.gmc-
299 uk.org/education/undergraduate/26588.asp)
- 300 Gorczynski, P., Sims-Schouten, W., Hill, D., and Wilson, C. (2017). "Examining mental
301 health literacy, help seeking behaviours, and mental health outcomes in UK
302 university students." *The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and*
303 *Practice*. Vol. 12, pp. 111–120.

304 Jorm, A., Korten, A., Jacomb, P., Christensen, H., Rodgers, B., and Pollitt, P. (1997).
305 “Public beliefs about causes and risk factors for depression and schizophrenia.”
306 *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*. Vol. 32, pp. 143–148.

307 Karp, J.F, & Levine, A.S. (2018). “Mental Health Services for Medical Students — Time
308 to Act.” *N Engl J Med*. 379:1196-1198. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMp1803970

309 Knaak, S., Modgill, G., and Patten, S. (2014). “Key ingredients of anti-stigma programs
310 for health care providers: A data synthesis of evaluative studies.” *Canadian Journal
311 of Psychiatry*. Vol. 59, pp. S19-26.

312 Kothari, V., George, N., & Hamid, O. (2018). Provision of mental health support for
313 medical students. *Advances in medical education and practice*, 9, 925-926.
314 doi:10.2147/AMEP.S184571

315 Kuhnigk, O., Strebel, B., Schilauske, J., et al. (2007). “Attitudes of Medical Students
316 Towards Psychiatry.” *Advances in Health Science Education*. Vol. 12 No. 1, pp.
317 87.

318 Kutcher, S., Wei, Y., and Coniglio, C. (2016). “Mental health literacy: Past, present, and
319 future.” *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*. Vol. 61, pp. 154–158.

320 Lyndon, M. (2017). “Burnout, quality of life, motivation, and academic achievement
321 among medical students: A person-oriented approach.” *Perspectives in Medical
322 Education*. Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 108–114.

323 McManus, S., Meltzer, H., Brugha, T., Bebbington, P., and Jenkins, R. (2009). “Adult
324 psychiatric morbidity in England, 2007: Results of a household survey.” *The Health
325 and Social Care Information Centre, Social Care Statistics*.

326 Munn, F. Medical students and suicide. *Student BMJ* 10.1136/sbmj.j1460

327 Office of National Statistics (2018). Estimating suicide among higher education students,
328 England and Wales: Experimental Statistics.

329 O'Connor, M., Casey, L., and Bonnie, C. (2014). "Measuring mental health literacy—A
330 review of scale-based measures." *Journal of Mental Health*. Vol. 23 No. 4, pp. 197–
331 204.

332 O'Connor, M., and Casey, L. (2015). "The Mental Health Literacy Scale (MHLS): A new
333 scale-based measure of mental health literacy." *Psychiatry Research*. Vol. 229 No.
334 1-2, pp. 511-6.

335 Pascucci, M., Stella, E., Montagna, M.L., Angelis, A.D., Parente, P., Di Nunzio, V. et al.
336 (2016). "Attitudes toward psychiatry and psychiatric patients in medical students:
337 Can real-world experiences reduce stigma?" *European Psychiatry*. Vol. 33 No. S,
338 pp. S258–S259.

339 Puthran, R., Zhang, M.W., Tam, W.W., and Ho, R.C. (2016). "Prevalence of depression
340 amongst medical students: a meta-analysis." *Medical Education*. Vol. 50 No. 4, pp.
341 456–468.

342 Rodriguez, M.L., Corse, A.K., and Rosen, L.D. (2017). "Mental health services use among
343 medical students: Perceived stigma and barriers to care." *Medical Science
344 Educator*. Pp. 1–6.

345 Rotenstein, L.S., Ramos, M.A., Torre, M., et al. (2016). "Prevalence of depression,
346 depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation among medical students: a systematic
347 review and meta-analysis." *JAMA*. Vol. 316 No. 21, pp. 2214–2236.

348 Vigo, D., Thornicroft, G., and Atun, R. (2016). "Estimating the true global burden of mental
349 illness." *Lancet Psychiatry*. Vol. 3, pp. 171-78.

350 Wei, Y., McGrath, P., Hayden, J., and Kutcher, S. (2015). "Mental health literacy measures
351 evaluating knowledge, attitudes and help-seeking: A scoping review." *BMC
352 Psychiatry*. Vol. 15, pp. 291.

353

354 Table 1. Sample demographic details and mean MHLS scores.

	N	Percentage	Mean MHLS	SD
Overall	251	100.0%	127.6	
Year of Study				
First year	125	49.8%	125.0	12.1
Second year	53	21.1%	126.4	12.1
Third year	27	10.8%	131.9	7.8
Fourth year	29	11.6%	133.5	9.2
Fifth year	14	5.6%	135.1	11.4
Sixth year	3	1.2%	135.0	9.5
Previous Education				
A Level	185	73.7%	128.1	11.5
Undergraduate	46	18.3%	127.3	12.2
Postgraduate	17	6.8%	125.0	14.7
Professional	3	1.2%	124.7	8.6
Gender				
Male	83	33.1%	124.5	12.6
Female	168	66.9%	129.2	11.1
Sexual Orientation				
Heterosexual	140	84.3%	129.6	21.9
Bisexual	17	10.2%	133.8	9.7
Gay	6	3.6%	136.0	5.7
Lesbian	1	0.6%	142.0	.
Other	2	1.2%	131.5	21.9
Ethnicity				
White/White British	92	55.8%	133.0	9.0
Asian/Asian British	51	30.9%	129.0	11.4
Black/Black British	8	4.8%	117.0	9.9
Mixed Race	7	4.2%	128.0	9.3
Other	7	4.2%	123.6	6.1

355

356

357 Table 2. Mean MHLS scores across studies and populations.

	N	MHLS score	SD	Range	CI	Population
Present study	251	127.7	11.8	90 - 153	126.2 - 129.2	Medical Students United Kingdom
O'Connor & Casey (2015)	372	127.4	12.6	92 - 155	126.1 - 128.7	Undergraduate Students, Australia
Gorzynski et al. (2017)	380	122.8	12.1	87 - 16	121.6 - 124.1	Non-medical university students in United Kingdom

358

359

360 Table 3. Points achieved across domains in the MHLS.

	Max Possible Points	Mean Points Achieved	Percentage of Correct Points
1: Ability to recognise disorders (Q1-8)	32	26.3	82.2%
2: Knowledge of where to seek information (Q16-19)	20	15.6	78%
3: Knowledge of risk factors and causes (Q9-10)	8	4.9	61.3%
4: Knowledge of self-treatment (Q11-12)	8	5.4	67.5%
5: Knowledge of professional help available (Q13-15)	12	9.0	75%
6: Attitudes that promote recognition or appropriate help-seeking behavior (Q20-35)	80	66.5	83.1%

361

362 Table 4. Demographics variation across mean domain scores in the MHLS.

	N	%	Domain 1 (Max 32)	Domain 2 (Max 20)	Domain 3 (Max 8)	Domain 4 (Max 8)	Domain 5 (Max 12)	Domain 6 (Max 80)
Overall	251	100.0%	26.3	15.6	4.9	5.4	9.0	66.5
Year of Study								
First year	125	49.8%	25.66	14.96	4.89	5.47	8.79	65.23
Second year	53	21.1%	26.23	15.11	5.17	5.38	9.11	65.38
Third year	27	10.8%	27.48	16.67	4.67	5.56	9.22	68.26
Fourth year	29	11.6%	27.21	16.72	4.72	5.34	9.31	70.17
Fifth year	14	5.6%	27.11	17.71	5.43	5.57	9.21	70.07
Sixth year	3	1.2%	27.00	15.67	4.67	4.67	8.67	74.33
Gender								
Male	83	33.1%	25.65	15.87	4.77	5.37	8.70	64.18
Female	168	66.9%	26.55	15.39	5.01	5.48	9.13	67.69
Previous Education								
A Level	185	73.7%	26.21	15.59	4.84	5.38	9.00	67.09
Undergraduate	46	18.3%	26.32	14.59	5.11	5.57	8.93	65.67
Postgraduate	17	6.8%	26.41	14.59	5.53	5.71	8.88	63.88
Professional	3	1.2%	27.00	16.67	4.67	5.67	9.67	61.00
Ethnicity								
White/White British	92	55.8%	26.75	15.98	4.64	5.33	9.11	71.27
Asian/Asian British	51	30.9%	26.47	15.47	5.08	5.65	9.16	67.22
Black/Black British	8	4.8%	25.13	13.00	4.63	5.38	8.38	60.50
Mixed Race	7	4.2%	26.71	15.86	5.00	5.57	9.71	65.14
Other	7	4.2%	26.14	15.14	5.29	5.00	9.07	63.00

363
364
365
366

Table 5. Mean MHLS scores across previous experiences with mental illness.

		N	Percentage	MHLS	SD	Range	CI
Have any of your close friends or family members experienced a mental illness?	Yes	190	75.69%	130.33	10.94	90 - 152	128.73 - 131.93
	No	58	23.11%	119.93	11.16	95 - 153	116.97 - 122.89
	No Response	3	1.20%				
Have you ever experienced a mental illness?	Yes	106	42.23%	131.08	10.47	102 - 152	129.05 - 133.11
	No	141	56.17%	125.31	12.25	90 - 153	123.22 - 127.41

	No Response	4	1.59%				
Have you ever been professionally diagnosed with a mental illness?	Yes	86	34.26%	127.76	13.22	92 - 149	124.89 - 130.63
	No	162	64.54 %	127.89	11.06	90 - 153	126.14 - 129.65
	No Response	4	1.59%				
Have you ever undergone treatment for a mental illness?	Yes	55	21.91%	135.39	8.81	104 - 149	132.99 - 137.79
	No	189	75.30%	125.65	11.72	90 - 153	123.95 - 127.35
	No Response	7	2.79%				
Have you ever worked with patients with mental illness in the past?	Yes	142	56.57%	129.57	12.05	90 - 153	127.54 - 131.61
	No	105	41.83%	125.53	11.18	95 - 149	123.33 - 127.73
	No Response	4	1.59%				

367

368

369 Table 6. Recognition rates of common mental health conditions.

Mental Health Condition	Correct Recognition Rate
Generalized Anxiety Disorder	95.2%
Bipolar Disorder	94.0%
Drug Dependence	92.8%
Major Depressive Disorder	74.5%
Agoraphobia	81.3%
Dysthymia	84.9%

370